

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
EUGENE DIVISION

THOMAS ANDERSON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil No. 11-6406-TC
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
STATE OF OREGON,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on January 6, 2012, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);


McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,  
656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920  
(1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore,  
given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge  
Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed January 6, 2012, in  
its entirety. Plaintiff's complaint (#2) is dismissed with  
prejudice. The court's order denying plaintiff's request to  
file an amended complaint is upheld. The clerk of court is  
directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of Feb., 2012.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE